

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Bca 1450 Alexadria, Virginis 22313-1450 www.upplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/587,970	06/06/2000	Simon James Hurst	1-14962	9428	
75	90 05/08/2003				
Marshall & Melhorn Attn Donald A Schurr Four Seagate 8th Floor Toledo, OH 43604			EXAMINER VINCENT, SEAN E		
`			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					11
	•	Application No.	A	pplicant(s)	4
	Office Action Summary	09/587,970		IURST ET AL.	V
•	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	A	rt Unit	T
	The Man to the second	Sean E Vincent	1	731	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the corr	esp ndence a	ddress
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay of the provided provided the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event, hower Property within the statutory mining Will apply and will expire S	ver, may a reply be timely mum of thirty (30) days wil IX (6) MONTHS from the	filed I be considered time mailing date of this c	ly. ommunication.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	R March 2003			
2a)⊠	The state of the s	his action is non-fin	-1		
3)[/				
Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	r Ex parte Quayle, 1	mai matters, prose 1935 C.D. 11, 453	ecution as to th O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 18-58 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-41</u> is/are withdra		on		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>42-58</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🔲 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	ent		
Application	on Papers	or election requirem	511t.		
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>06 June 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐	obiected to by the F	yaminer	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held i	n abevance See 37	CED 1 95(a)	
11)[TI	te proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved	by the Examine	r
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action	٦.	.,	••
	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f)	
a)⊠	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		0 11 (2)	o. (i <i>).</i>	
1	. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	d.		
2	Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	d in Application No	1	
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Rule	ity documents have	been received in t	his National S	tage
 14)∏ Ack	the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copie	s not received.		
۱۰۰۰ <u></u> ۱۱م	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to	a provisional a	pplication)
15)∏ Aci	The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application	has been received		
achment(s)	o diaminor domesti	priority under 35 U	.S.C. §§ 120 and/	or 121.	
Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892)	مر ا	nnion Commercia	440. =	
☐ Notice of ☐ Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	erview Summary (PTO- ice of Informal Patent A er:	413) Paper No(s). Application (PTO-1	· I52)
itent and Trader 326 (Rev. 0	4-01)	ion Summon.			

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 18-41 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 5. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 55-58 are objected to, because they depend from Claim 1 which has been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Titanium tetraalkoxide was not disclosed in example 16 as noted by the applicant or anywhere else in the originally filed specification and claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/587,970

Art Unit: 1731

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action
- 6. Claims 42, 43, 45 and 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Greenberg et al (US 6027766). The features of applicant's claims can be found in the abstract, drawings, col. 3, lines 32-66, col. 4, lines 30-63, col. 5, lines 18-46, col. 7, lines 1-11, the examples and the claims (see especially Table 6, sample H).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenberg et al. in view of Kimura et al (US 6110528).
- 9. Greenberg et al. teaches the features of applicant's claims except for the use of titanium tetraalkoxide as a fluid titania precursor. Kimura et al taught that titanium tetraalkoxide was a well known fluid titania precursor (see col. 4, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/587,970
Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use titanium tetraalkoxide in Greenberg et al. because it was well known in the art as shown by Kimura et al.

- 10. Claims 46-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenberg et al. in view of Sheel et al (WO 98/06675).
- 11. Greenberg et al teaches the invention of applicant's claims except for an ester oxygen source. Sheel et al taught methods of depositing titanium oxide coatings on float glass substrates by CVD wherein titanium tetrachloride and ethyl acetate are used as separate titanium and oxygen sources respectively (see pages 6-9, Examples 6 and 7, pages 22-23 and 25-27). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the precursors of Sheel et al in the CVD method of Greenberg et al because Sheel et al taught that the precursors enabled high conversion efficiency with low cost metal precursor compounds.

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed March 3, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 13. In response to the argument that Greenberg et al. does not teach temperatures or thicknesses within the claimed ranges, the examiner disagrees. Note the reference to sample H in the above-rejection.

' Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

- 14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 15. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E Vincent whose telephone number is 703-305-3607. The examiner can normally be reached on M F (8:30 6:00) Second Monday Off.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.
- 18. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Sean E Vincent Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

S Vincent May 6, 2003